

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/637,160 | Applicant(s) SEIFERT ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Mark W. Bockelman | Art Unit 3766 | |

All Participants:

(1) Mark W. Bockelman.

(2) Carol Barry.

Date of Interview: 26 November 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
8, 11-12

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner requested a cancelation of claim 8 (duplicate subject matter) and a correction of dependency for claims 11 and 12. See examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)